

Analyzing the safety of the parasiticide fungus *Mucor circinelloides*: first insights on its virulence profile and interactions with the avian gut microbial community

João Lozano,^{1,2} Eva Cunha,^{1,2} Cristina Almeida,³ Mónica Nunes,⁴ Ricardo Dias,⁴ Eduardo Vicente,⁵ Daniela Sebastião,⁵ Sérgio Henriques,⁶ Luís Madeira de Carvalho,^{1,2} Adolfo Paz-Silva,⁷ Manuela Oliveira^{1,2,8,9}

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS See affiliation list on p. 14.

ABSTRACT Parasiticide fungi are considered an accurate, sustainable, and safe solution for the biocontrol of animal gastrointestinal (GI) parasites. This research provides an initial characterization of the virulence of the native parasiticide fungus *Mucor circinelloides* (FMV-FR1) and an assessment of its impact on birds' gut microbes. The genome of this fungus was sequenced to identify the genes coding for virulence factors. Also, this fungus was checked for the phenotypic expression of proteinase, lecithinase, DNase, gelatinase, hemolysin, and biofilm production. Finally, an *in vivo* trial was developed based on feeding *M. circinelloides* spores to laying hens and peacocks three times a week. Bird feces were collected for 3 months, with total genomic DNA being extracted and subjected to long-read 16S and 25S-28S sequencing. Genes coding for an iron permease (FTR1), iron receptors (FOB1 and FOB2), ADP-ribosylation factors (ARFs) (ARF2 and ARF6), and a GTPase (CDC42) were identified in this *M. circinelloides* genome. Also, this fungus was positive only for lecithinase activity. The field trial revealed a fecal microbiome dominated by Firmicutes and Proteobacteria in laying hens, and Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes in peacocks, whereas the fecal mycobiome of both bird species was mainly composed of Ascomycetes and Basidiomycetes fungi. Bacterial and fungal alpha-diversities did not differ between sampling time points after *M. circinelloides* administrations ($P = 0.62$ and $P = 0.15$, respectively). Although findings from this research suggest the lack of virulence of this *M. circinelloides* parasiticide isolate, more complementary *in vitro* and *in vivo* research is needed to conclude about the safety of its administration to birds, aiming at controlling their GI parasites.

IMPORTANCE A previous study revealed that the native *Mucor circinelloides* isolate (FMV-FR1) can develop parasiticide activity toward coccidia oocysts, one of the most pathogenic GI parasites in birds. However, ensuring its safety for birds is of utmost importance, namely by studying its virulence profile and potential effect on commensal gut microbes. This initial study revealed that although this *M. circinelloides* isolate had genes coding for four types of virulence factors—iron permease, iron receptors, ADP-ribosylation factors, and GTPase—and only expressed phenotypically the enzyme lecithinase, the administration of its spores to laying hens and peacocks did not interfere with the abundances and diversities of their gut commensal bacteria and fungi. Although overall results suggest the lack of virulence of this *M. circinelloides* isolate, more complementary research is needed to conclude about the safety of its administration to birds in the scope of parasite biocontrol programs.

KEYWORDS avian parasitology, parasiticide fungi, virulence factors, microbiome, mycobiome

Editor Artem S. Rogovsky, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

Address correspondence to Luís Madeira de Carvalho, madeiradecarvalho@fmv.ulisboa.pt.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

See the funding table on p. 15.

Received 6 December 2023

Accepted 1 March 2024

Published 27 March 2024

Copyright © 2024 Lozano et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Parasiticide fungi are a functional group of microorganisms known for their ability to destroy the exogenous forms of gastrointestinal (GI) parasites, namely coccidia oocysts, and also helminth eggs and larvae (1). Fungi like *Duddingtonia flagrans*, *Arthrotrrys oligospora* and *Monacrosporium thaumasium*, develop trapping structures to immobilize and destroy nematodes' infective larvae (larvicidal fungi), whereas *Mucor circinelloides* and *Pochonia chlamydosporia*, destroy coccidia oocysts and helminth eggs (ovicidal fungi) (2–4). The majority of research on this topic has been performed in ruminants and horses (5–8) and more recently extended to the control of parasites affecting other animal hosts, namely dogs, birds, and captive wild animals (4, 9, 10).

Considering that some fungi of the order Mucorales, such as *M. circinelloides*, are commonly linked to opportunistic infections, which may lead to mucormycosis in immunocompromised humans and animals (11), studying their safety is a mandatory step to implement this parasite biological control approach at field level.

Birds' native gut microbiota (i.e., bacterial community) is mainly composed of bacteria of the phyla Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, and Bacteroidetes, with its diversity and relative abundances being influenced by several endogenous and exogenous factors, such as diet, age, sex, health status, and environmental microorganisms (12). Although most studies are still focused on animal gastrointestinal (GI) bacteria, new information is being recorded for animals' enteric fungal communities (i.e., mycobiota), revealing that the Ascomycota and Basidiomycota phyla are the most dominant in the GI tract of poultry (13, 14). Since parasiticide fungi spores are often administered orally to animals and then pass through the GI tract and are finally expelled with feces to the environment, where they develop larvicidal or ovicidal activities toward parasitic forms (1, 2), ensuring that fungal formulations do not disturb the native gut micro- and mycobiota is also crucial for maintaining intestinal homeostasis, which is, to our knowledge, a topic not yet studied.

The current research aimed to perform an initial characterization of the virulence profile of the native parasiticide fungus *Mucor circinelloides* (FMV-FR1) and assess its potential influence on birds' GI native bacterial and fungal communities in the scope of a larger project aiming at controlling peacocks' coccidia.

RESULTS

M. circinelloides (FMV-FR1) virulence factors

The complete analysis of *M. circinelloides* (FMV-FR1) genome revealed six predicted genes coding for virulence factors, above the 80% identity cut-off, namely the gene FTR1, which encodes for an iron permease (protein ID: I1BRD6; *Rhizopus arrhizus*, 83.98% identity; PHI database), the genes FOB1 (protein ID: I1BW02; *Rhizopus arrhizus*, 83.07% identity; PHI database) and FOB2 (protein ID: I1CCV9; *Rhizopus arrhizus*, 86.67% identity; PHI database), which encode for iron receptors, the genes ARF2 (protein ID: Q5AND9; *Candida albicans*, 90.06% identity; PHI database) and ARF6 (protein ID: G4N9S6; *Magnaporthe oryzae*, 80.45% identity; PHI database), which encode for ADP-ribosylation factors, as well as the gene CDC42 (protein ID: Q2PBY8_CLAPU; *Claviceps purpurea*, 86.84% identity; DFVF database), which encodes for a Rho-like GTPase.

All other common Mucorales virulence factors, such as iron ferroxidase (FET3 gene), spore coat proteins (COTH2 and COTH3 genes), protein kinase A (PKAR gene), endonucleases (DCL1 gene), 14- α sterol demethylases (CYP51 gene), transcription factors (ATF1 gene), heteromeric G-protein beta subunit (GPB1 gene), siderophores (ARN1, SREA, BIR1, and AFT1 genes) and chitinase (GH18), were detected under the threshold of 80% identity (Table S1).

Regarding the phenotypic expression of virulence factors, based on the culture media available for this assay, this *M. circinelloides* isolate was found to be positive only for lecithinase activity. Negative results were obtained for the other virulence factors tested, with fungal growth not leading to any media changes (Fig. 1).

Also, the quantitative hemolysis assay resulted in OD reads of 1.22 ± 0.11 , 1.17 ± 0.09 , and 1.12 ± 0.10 after rabbit red blood cells (rRBCs) being exposed to the fungal concentrations of 10^4 , 10^5 , and 10^6 spores/mL, respectively, whereas the positive and




FIG 1 Phenotypic expression of six virulence factors by *M. circinelloides* (FMV-FR1). Positive results for lecithinase activity are revealed by a clearing halo surrounding the fungal colony, observed after 24 and 48 h of incubation (black arrows). This isolate tested negative for all other virulence factors.

negative controls had absorbances of 5.55 ± 0.96 and 1.05 ± 0.10 , respectively. No differences were identified between the absorbances obtained for microplate wells containing rRBCs and each fungal concentration ($P = 0.95$ between 10^6 and 10^5 spores/mL; $P = 0.88$ between 10^6 and 10^4 spores/mL; and $P = 0.93$ between 10^5 and 10^4 spores/mL), as well as between these wells and negative control ($P = 0.91, 0.86,$ and 0.79 , for comparisons between the negative control and the wells containing rRBCs and $10^6, 10^5,$ and 10^4 spores/mL, respectively). Moreover, the mean absorbance in the positive control was significantly higher than in wells containing rRBCs and each fungal concentration and the negative control ($P < 0.01$ for all comparisons) (Fig. 2). Thus, aggregated results from these qualitative and quantitative assays confirm that *M. circinelloides* tested negative for hemolysin production.

Impact of *M. circinelloides* in the avian intestinal micro- and mycobiome and homeostasis

Fecal microbiome (i.e., bacteria) sequencing revealed that bacteria of the phyla Firmicutes ($74\% \pm 0.05\%$ – $89\% \pm 0.34\%$) and Proteobacteria ($4\% \pm 0.1\%$ – $16\% \pm 0.1\%$) were the most abundant in the GI tract of laying hens, with the genus *Lactobacillus* is the most represented in the entire study ($22\% \pm 0.1\%$ – $48\% \pm 0.04\%$) (Fig. 3). Guts from peacocks were mainly colonized by Firmicutes ($62\% \pm 0.1\%$ – $66\% \pm 0.1\%$) and Bacteroidetes ($30\% \pm 0.1\%$ – $31\% \pm 0.1\%$), and *Prevotella* spp., *Lachnoclostridium* spp., and *Blautia* spp. were the most abundant genera (Fig. 4). Overall, bacterial phyla and genera relative abundances remained similar during both *in vivo* trials after birds were fed with *M. circinelloides* spores, and the aggregated alpha-diversity for laying hens and peacocks did not differ between each sampling time point ($P = 0.62$).




FIG 2 Optical density (OD) results (mean \pm standard error) obtained for test wells containing rRBCs and each fungal concentration (green bars), and for positive (with rRBCs +Triton 1%) and negative control wells (with PBS) (C+ and C-, respectively). OD results were measured by absorbance at 450 nm. Bars sharing the same superscript letter correspond to non-significant differences ($P > 0.05$).




FIG 3 Dynamics of native GI bacteria phyla during the *in vivo* trials in laying hens and peacocks following *M. circinelloides* spores' administrations.

The gut mycobiomes of laying hens and peacocks were found to be dominated by fungi of the phyla Ascomycota and Basidiomycota ($55\% \pm 0.1\%$ – $88\% \pm 0.1\%$), with the exception of peacocks after 90 days of fungal administrations, in which Mucoromycota was the second most abundant phylum ($22\% \pm 0.1\%$) (Fig. 5). Also, gut fungal genera composition differed between laying hens and peacocks, with the feces of the first being mainly composed of fungi of the genus *Kazachstania* at the beginning and end of the *in vivo* trial ($22\% \pm 0.1\%$ and $17\% \pm 0.04\%$), whereas *Pezizula*, *Coprinellus*, and *Antarctomyces* were the most abundant genera in the GI tract of peacocks at t0, t45, and t90 days ($19.5\% \pm 0.2\%$, $21.96\% \pm 0.003\%$, and $55.7\% \pm 0.1\%$, respectively) (Fig. 6). Moreover, no *M. circinelloides* sequences were detected in feces from both bird collections in every trial's time point. As observed for the microbiome, the fungal diversity was not affected by the administration of *M. circinelloides* spores, as the aggregated alpha-diversity did not differ between each sampling time point ($P = 0.15$).

TOP 5 Bacterial Genera




FIG 4 Top five bacterial genera in each fecal sampling time point, measured by relative abundance, following the administration of *M. circinelloides* spores to laying hens and peacocks.




FIG 5 Dynamics of native GI fungi phyla during the *in vivo* trials in laying hens and peacocks following *M. circinelloides* spores' administrations.

Moreover, fecal appearance analysis revealed that laying hens' intestinal homeostasis seemed to remain constant during the *in vivo* trial, with 70%–100% of the samples showing normal appearance. In fact, a significant increase in the proportion of normal feces after 45 days of fungal feeding was recorded (100%, $P = 0.02$) in comparison with those from the control time point. Also, 88%–100% of peacock fecal samples were classified as normal regarding their appearance and consistency, never differing significantly from those of the control time point (Table 1). Finally, birds exhibited normal behavior, and no changes were observed on skin and feathers throughout the trials.

DISCUSSION

Research with ovicidal and larvicidal fungi has revealed promising results for their use in the biological control of avian GI parasites, namely coccidia, ascarids, and strongyles (4, 15, 16). Despite their demonstrated efficacy in destroying parasitic forms, ensuring the safety of these fungi for animals and technicians is a crucial step when choosing the most suitable fungal strains for parasite management in farms, zoos, and public/private animal collections.

TOP 5 Fungal Genera




FIG 6 Top five fungal genera in each fecal sampling time point, measured by relative abundance, following the administration of *M. circinelloides* spores to laying hens and peacocks.

TABLE 1 Intestinal homeostasis evaluation performed during the assays in laying hens and peacocks, measured by the prevalence of normal fecal samples in comparison with diarrheic or hemorrhagic feces^a

Time point	Laying hens					Peacocks				
	N	D	H	% N (N/T)	P-value	N	D	H	% N (N/T)	P-value
Control	14	3	3	70	NA ^b	15	2	0	88	NA
T15 days	18	0	2	90	0.235	19	1	0	95	0.58
T30 days	16	3	1	80	0.716	15	1	0	94	0.52
T45 days	20	0	0	100 ^c	0.02	20	0	0	100	0.20
T60 days	17	2	1	85	0.45	20	0	0	100	0.20
T75 days	18	0	2	90	0.235	20	0	0	100	0.20
T90 days	19	0	1	95	0.09	20	0	0	100	0.20

^aN, normal feces; D, diarrheic feces; H, hemorrhagic feces; and T, total (N + D + H).

^bNA - Not applicable.

^cSignificant differences in comparison with the control time point ($P < 0.05$).

The whole-genome sequencing (WGS) of this *M. circinelloides* isolate allowed to identify six predicted genes coding for virulence factors, namely an iron permease (FTR1), iron receptors (FOB1 and FOB2), ARFs (ARF2 ARF6), as well as a Rho-like GTPase (CDC42). As iron is essential for fungal survival, the permease FTR1 and iron receptors FOB1 and FOB2 play an important role in free iron uptake and, thus, in the virulence of Mucorales fungi, especially when the proteins lactoferrin and transferrin fail to chelate the free iron available in host tissue fluids as a consequence of altered metabolism, lysis of red blood cells, or even trauma (17, 18). Also, studies have been demonstrating that ARFs play an essential role in *M. circinelloides* dimorphism and virulence, such as by regulating the biogenesis of vesicles involved in hyphal apical growth (18, 19). Moreover, the GTPase CDC42 is essential for hyphae morphogenesis in filamentous fungi due to its role in the generation of cell polarity (20), and its coding gene has also been identified in the genome *P. chlamyosporia*, another ovidical fungus species. In this particular case, the detection of the CDC42 gene can also be seen as an important attribute of this *M. circinelloides* isolate since hyphae germination and migration toward parasite eggs and oocysts is the first step of the parasiticide activity developed by this kind of fungi (2, 4).

Also, regarding the phenotypic expression of virulence factors, the current study showed that the FMV-FR1 *M. circinelloides* isolate only tested positive for lecithinase activity. This enzyme hydrolyzes the phospholipid lecithin, which is a structural component of the animal cell membrane, and thus might play a role in fungal pathogenicity and eventually lead to host cell lysis (21). Negative results for hemolysin and biofilm assays are in contrast with previous research performed in other medically important fungi, namely *Aspergillus*, *Candida*, *Fusarium*, *Cryptococcus*, and *Coccidioides*, which reported these fungal taxa as being hemolysin producers and having biofilm-forming abilities in abiotic and biotic substrates (22, 23).

In the second phase of the present study, the analysis of birds' gut microbial communities was performed on fresh fecal samples from both avian hosts, collected immediately after excretion. This is an easy, non-invasive, and accurate approach for estimating the overall bacterial and fungal communities in animals' GI tract by sequencing their fecal microbiome and mycobiome (12). Fecal microbiome sequencing results from laying hens revealed that their guts were overall colonized by bacteria of the phyla Firmicutes, followed by Proteobacteria, and the genus *Lactobacillus* had the highest relative abundance, whereas the GI microbiota of peacocks was mostly dominated by Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes. These results are in accordance with Grond et al. (12) and Diaz Carrasco et al. (24), who reported that in physiological conditions, the native GI microbiota of most bird species, namely Galliformes, is mainly dominated by Firmicutes and described the crucial role of these bacterial communities in the biosynthesis of short-chain fatty acids (byproducts of fermentation), which are important energy and carbon sources for bird's nutrition. The abundance of some bacterial taxa belonging to this phylum, namely *Lactobacillus* spp., has been positively associated with higher productivity in poultry (24). Also, *Lactobacillus* spp. and *Bacillus subtilis* are examples of

bacteria with probiotic properties, contributing to the regulation of birds' gut microbiota toward higher proportions of beneficial bacteria and thus improving the animals' intestinal homeostasis and nutrient uptake (24, 25). Animals' GI microbiota is influenced by several biotic and abiotic factors, and the identification of the Bacteroidetes phylum as the second most abundant in peacocks during the entire trial and with similar relative abundances at every sampling time point might be related to the feed composition, which contained corn grains and sunflower seeds, among other constituents. The presence of these components in feed may have stimulated the growth of bacteria from this phylum since they play an important role in degrading complex plant polysaccharides, such as cellulose (12).

The phyla Ascomycota and Basidiomycota were the most abundant in the GI tract of laying hens and peacocks throughout the assays, with the exception of the last fecal sampling time point in peacocks, in which fungi of the phylum Mucoromycota were the second most abundant after Ascomycota. Although most studies regarding the characterization of fungal intestinal communities have been performed in humans and rodents, recent studies revealed that the GI mycobiota of chickens is mainly colonized by Ascomycota and, in less extension, by Basidiomycota fungi, irrespective of the GI tract's section (14), which is in accordance with the current study results. Also, yeasts of the genus *Kazachstania* were identified as the most abundant at two sampling time points (beginning and end of the trial) in laying hens, being a genus highly represented in the GI tract of other monogastric species, such as pigs, and with a reported positive role in promoting intestinal epithelial glycolysis (26).

The increase in the relative abundance of the Mucoromycota phylum in peacock feces, at the end of the *in vivo* trial, is another interesting result observed in this study. The last weeks of this assay were marked by flood episodes in Lisbon downtown, as a result of intense rainfall, which led the birds' owners to house them together. Mucorales fungi are known for their ubiquity and rapidly growing mycelium (27), and thus birds' stocking conditions observed for that period might have increased fungal spores' transmission through the fecal-oral route, consequently being responsible for a higher fecal excretion of Mucorales spores at the end of the trial.

Also, mycobiome sequencing failed to specifically detect *M. circinelloides* sequences in feces from laying hens and peacocks in each sampling time point, suggesting that spores from this fungus were not capable of colonizing the GI tract of birds. Although further anatomopathological analysis is needed to confirm this hypothesis in birds, the incapability of *M. circinelloides* to colonize the GI tract of other animal species has already been demonstrated in a previous study in ruminants (28).

The overall results obtained in each bird flock suggest that feeding *M. circinelloides* spores to laying hens and peacocks did not alter their GI microbiome and mycobiome, with *Lactobacillus* spp. and *Prevotella* spp. being the top bacterial genera in all sampling time points, in laying hens and peacocks, while the fungal genus *Kazachstania* was the most abundant in laying hens' feces at the beginning and end of the trial. Some fluctuations were still observed in microbial phyla and genera throughout the study, which could have been influenced by several biotic and abiotic factors. For example, age is a biological factor that has been shown to influence birds' gut microbial composition, with previous studies in chickens revealing that the cecum of newly hatched chicks is mainly dominated by Clostridiaceae, whereas *Lactobacillus* represents 25% of all cecal bacterial genera at three days of age and then its abundance decreases up to 100 times when broilers reach 42 days (29–31). However, there are still few publications addressing the interactions between age evolution in animal groups and their gut microbial composition and diversity, especially for mycobiome, with a previous study reporting the transition from a *Trichosporon* spp. dominance in the cecum of broilers aging 14 days to *Microascus* spp. at 28 days of age, despite no difference being recorded in the fungal alpha-diversity (32). Moreover, some shifts observed in the fecal microbiome and mycobiome analysis may have been caused by the intrinsic instability of the fecal bacterial and fungal communities, which rapidly change their relative abundances

depending on storage conditions (30). These limitations of the current study suggest that further research should include a previous separation of birds into two distinct groups, with the control group receiving feed not supplemented with fungal spores, as well as more fecal samplings to cover a broader timeframe of analysis.

Despite the identification of several predicted genes in the *M. circinelloides* (FMV-FR1) genome coding for virulence factors and a positive lecithinase activity, overall results from this virulence profile analysis, combined with the lack of interference of *M. circinelloides* spores in birds' gut microbial diversities and the absence of alterations in birds' fecal appearance and consistency, allow to have an initial insight on the lack of pathogenicity of this *M. circinelloides* isolate to birds. The absence of differences in the bacterial and fungal alpha-diversities, after birds are fed with *M. circinelloides* spores, is another interesting result from the current study, as microbial equilibrium is essential for intestinal homeostasis (30, 33).

Parasiticide fungi have always been tested on animals in controlled health programs, with constant monitoring of any eventual side effects developed by the animals. Previous *in vivo* studies in which parasitized animals received *M. circinelloides* (CECT 20824) spores found that their hematological parameters (e.g., red blood cells, hemoglobin, hematocrit, white blood cells, and lymphocytes) remained constant or even improved after fungus administrations (7, 34). Also, the anatomopathological analysis of different dairy cow tissues revealed no signs of damage caused by *M. circinelloides* (CECT 20824) and *D. flagrans* (CECT 20823) spores (28).

To the best of our knowledge, this study represents the first report regarding the analysis of the virulence profile of an *M. circinelloides* parasiticide isolate and the assessment of its potential impact on animals' GI core bacterial and fungal communities. Despite overall results suggesting that this *M. circinelloides* isolate does not offer any health risk for birds, in the scope of a parasite biological control program, more *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies are needed to confirm this hypothesis, namely assessing the phenotypic expression of more potential virulence factors, collecting blood samples for hematological analysis, performing anatomopathological analysis in birds receiving spores of *M. circinelloides* FMV-FR1, and finally integrating the microbial results with parasitological data by comparing the dynamics of the gut microbiome and mycobiome following the reduction of the GI parasitic population caused by the administration of fungi with parasitocidal properties.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fungal isolate

This study focused on an *M. circinelloides* (FMV-FR1) isolate belonging to the Laboratory of Parasitology and Parasitic Diseases of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Lisbon (LPPD-FMV), with previously demonstrated parasiticide activity toward avian coccidia (4). This isolate was stored in wheat-flour agar medium (WFA, 2%) at room temperature and in a wheat broth (10 grams of wheat grains per 1 L of distilled water). This broth was previously autoclaved and transferred to sterilized plastic bottles, after which it was inoculated with WFA cubes of 2.25 × 2.25 × 2.25 cm, containing mycelia from *M. circinelloides*, and then left at room temperature for 1 month with a slope of 45° (35).

Fungal mycelium from the first formulation was used in the first phase of this study (virulence profile assessment), whereas the wheat broth enriched with fungal spores was used in the second phase (*in vivo* trials).

Phase 1—assessing *M. circinelloides* virulence profile

Whole-genome sequencing

The extraction of *M. circinelloides* DNA was done by phenol/chloroform followed by precipitation with sodium acetate and ethanol, and finally resuspended in Tris-EDTA

buffer (36). The obtained DNA was purified using AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, High Wycombe, UK), and its quality and concentration were assessed by NanoDrop One and Qubit 4 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, USA).

The isolate's genomic DNA was subjected to WGS using Oxford Nanopore PromethION (P24), with R10.4.1 flow cell (FLO-PRO114M) and Ligation Sequencing Kit V14 (SQK-LSK114) following the manufacturer's instructions (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford, UK). After 17 h, sequencing yielded 1,950,000 long read sequences with a size N_{50} of 18 Kb. A total of 21.27 Gb of data were produced (500× genome coverage). Raw reads were classified by MinKNOW (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford, UK) based on the average read quality score > 7 and then assembled using the pipeline Canu (version 2.2), with the parameters "genomeSize = 39 mb" and "-nanopore." The output FASTA file from Canu was polished using the tool Medaka (version 1.6.0), with the parameter "-m r103_hac_g507."

The pipeline Funannotate (<https://github.com/nextgenusfs/funannotate>) was used to explain the assembled genome with the following commands: funannotate clean; funannotate sort; funannotate mask; funannotate predict with the parameter "*Mucor racemosus*"; funannotate iprscan, using the pipeline InterProScan (version 5.61-93.0), and funannotate annotate. Gene IDs recorded correspond to hypothetical genes given by the Funannotate pipeline.

Protein sequences associated with fungal pathogenicity were retrieved from the Database of Fungal Virulence Factors (DFVF, <http://sysbio.unl.edu/DFVF/Download/All-Genes.txt>) (37) and Pathogen-Host Interactions Database (PHI-BASE, <https://www.phi-base.org>) (38). Protein sequences generated by the Funannotate pipeline were used to perform a BlastP against DFVF and PHI-BASE databases, using a local instance of SequenceServer 2.0.0, BLASTP 2.12.0+, and with the following parameters: *e*-value 10^{-6} , matrix BLOSUM62, gap-open 11, gap-extend 1, and filter F (39). Cut-offs equal to 10^{-6} for *e*-value and >80% for genes identity were chosen based on the Funannotate pipeline, Chaudhuri and Ramachandran (40), and on the standardized procedures of the Biosystems and Integrative Sciences Institute of the Faculty of Sciences, University of Lisbon.

Phenotypic expression of virulence factors

This step aimed to assess the phenotypic expression of common six microbial virulence factors by the *M. circinelloides* isolate (FMV-FR1), namely the enzymes proteinase, lecithinase, DNase, gelatinase, and hemolysin, and also biofilm production. All media, incubation conditions, and expected outcomes for each virulence factor are summarized in Table 2. The analysis of the phenotypic expression of all virulence factors was performed by direct visualization of each plate and following all procedures from previous research by Cunha et al. (41) and Raposo et al. (42) and their control plates.

Moreover, a second experiment was performed aiming at quantifying the rate of red blood cell destruction by measuring the absorbance of hemoglobin (Hb) release after exposure to fungal spores. Two blood samples were collected from two different male lionhead rabbits younger than 1-year old on the day before the experiment and during routine clinic consultations at "Exoclinic" (Lisbon, Portugal). Blood was taken from the lateral saphenous vein into EDTA tubes and stored in a refrigerator at 4°C. Then, a protocol adapted from Mendonça et al. (43) was applied. For each blood sample, a total of 1 mL of blood was centrifuged at 4,000 rpm, for 5 minutes, and the resulting pellet was washed two times in 1× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution (150 mM NaCl, 5 mM Na₂HPO₄, and 1.7 mM KH₂PO₄; pH 7.4) by centrifugation at 4,000 rpm for 5 minutes, until obtaining a clear supernatant. Then, the supernatant was discharged, and the pellet containing rRBCs was resuspended in PBS solution to a final concentration of 0.5% (vol/vol). *M. circinelloides* suspension with an average concentration of 6.04×10^6 spores/mL was also prepared in PBS solution by using a Neubauer chamber to count fungal conidia. Serial dilutions (1:2) were performed in microplates, with test wells containing 100 µL of each fungus concentration and 100 µL of rRBCs' suspension,

TABLE 2 List of virulence factors assessed in *M. circinelloides* (FMV-FR1), culture media and incubation conditions used for this purpose, and expected phenotypic expression

Virulence factors	Media	Incubation conditions	Outcomes
Proteinase	Skim milk medium: skim milk powder and bacteriological agar (VWR, Leuven, Belgium)	26°C for 72 h	Positive result—appearance of a clear zone surrounding the colonies
Lecithinase	Tryptic Soy agar supplemented with 10% egg yolk emulsion (VWR, Leuven, Belgium)		Positive result—appearance of a white precipitate around the colonies
DNase	DNase medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific–Remel, Lenexa, USA) supplemented with 0.01% toluidine blue (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany)		Positive result—appearance of pink halos around the colonies
Gelatinase	Nutrient Gelatine agar (Oxoid, Hampshire, UK)		Positive result—cumulative effect of gelatine liquefaction after incubation and maintenance of its liquid consistency after cooling at 4°C for 30 minutes
Hemolysin	Columbia agar supplemented with 5% sheep blood (bioMérieux, Marcy-l'Étoile, France)		α-Hemolysis (partial activity)—appearance of green halos around the colonies β-Hemolysis (full activity)—clearing halos Negative result—absence of halos
Biofilm production	Red Congo agar: Brain-Hearth Infusion Broth (VWR, Leuven, Belgium), bacteriological agar (VWR, Leuven, Belgium), and Red Congo reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany)		Positive result—black colored medium around the colonies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strong producer: after 24 h • Medium producer: after 48 h • Weak producer: after 72 h

corresponding to a final fungal concentration ranging between 10^4 and 10^6 spores/mL. Positive and negative controls were also performed (100 μ L of Triton (1%) and 100 μ L of rRBCs' suspension, or only 200 μ L of PBS, respectively). Microplates were incubated at 37°C for 1 h with 100 rpm stirring and then centrifuged at 4,000 rpm at 4°C for 5 minutes. Supernatants were then transferred to a new microplate, and hemolysis was determined by Hb release, measured by absorbance at 450 nm using a FLUOstar OPTIMA microplate reader (BMG LABTECH, Offenburg, Germany) and the software BMG LABTECH OPTIMA (version 2.20 R14). In this experiment, each blood sample evaluation was performed in three repetitions, each with two replicates.

Phase 2—effect of *M. circinelloides* spores in avian native gut bacteria and fungi

Domestic and exotic bird collections

Between July and December 2022, two *in vivo* trials were performed in two different avian collections located in the Lisbon district, Portugal: a laying hens' flock (*Gallus gallus domesticus*) from a livestock farm (39°13'54.373" N 9°17'2.235" W) and a peacock flock (*Pavo cristatus*) from São Jorge Castle (38°42'50.241" N 9°8'2.182" W).

The trial with laying hens was performed between July and September 2022. The flock was composed of 100 birds, 1-year old, kept under free-range conditions, with an outdoor area of 625 m² and a housing of 45 m² with feeders, water drinkers, perches, and nests. The trial with peacocks was performed between October and December 2022, with the flock composed of 58 birds (44 adults and 14 juveniles), free ranging in an outdoor area of 4,700 m².

According to the routine practices performed in each bird collection, laying hens and peacocks were fed with avian commercial feed once or twice per day, respectively. Also, both bird flocks were not subjected to any antimicrobial drug treatment for at least 6 months prior to and during the trials.




FIG 7 Experimental design established for assessing the effect of *M. circinelloides* (FMV-FR1) spores on birds' feces appearance and consistency and on their fecal microbiome and mycobiome (figure created using Canva, <https://www.canva.com>; photos from fungal suspensions, bird flocks, and mode of administration are original).

Fungal formulations

M. circinelloides spores' suspensions in wheat broth were added to feeders at farm level prior to feeding birds. This approach was chosen due to the poor climatization of the farm's storage room, which would contribute to the rapid growth of fungi on feed and eventually to its rejection by the animals.

The procedure was adapted from the protocol described by Palomero et al. (9). Two hand sprayers were filled with the *M. circinelloides* suspension (10⁶ spores/mL), which was then sprayed on feed after being placed in feeders. Laying hens received a dose of 6.8 × 10⁷ spores/kg of feed in each administration time point.

For peacocks, the administration procedure was slightly different. Bird feed doses enriched with *M. circinelloides* spores were previously prepared in the LPPD-FMV, adapting the procedures reported by Voinot et al. (7). Each formulation was composed of 600 grams of bird feed mixed with 60 mL of fungal suspension, which was dried at 27°C for 30 minutes using an incubator. Individual doses were prepared in sealed plastic bags, and peacocks received 1.01 × 10⁸ spores/kg of feed at each administration time point.

Experimental design

In both bird collections, a total of 33 fungus administrations were performed *per os* three times a week. Then, the number of samples collected on each bird flock was determined based on the availability of fresh feces at the control time point in laying hens, 20 samples, in which the first trial was performed. Thus, the same quantity of samples was collected at further sampling time points, each lasting 2 weeks, for 3 months, and in both bird flocks, with the only exceptions being observed for the control and t30-day time points in peacocks, in which it was only possible to collect 17 and 16 fresh fecal samples, respectively. Since it was not possible to establish two separate groups (test and control) in each bird flock, the initial samples served as a control (t0 days), whereas samples from t15 to t90 days corresponded to the test phase, as also described by Paz-Silva et al. (10)

(Fig. 7). Feces were packed in individual plastic bags and immediately transported in a cooling bag to the LPPD-FMV.

In each sampling time point and in both bird flocks, fecal samples' appearance (normal vs hemorrhagic) and consistency (normal vs diarrheic) were analyzed (Fig. 8), with the number of samples in each category being quantified and compared with those from the control time point. Then, all feces from the control, t45, and t90 days time points were gently mixed and homogenized, forming three aggregated sub-samples per bird flock, which were then placed in sterile plastic flasks and stored in a freezer (-20°C) until further processing. Since *in vivo* trials were performed in bird groups, the procedure of aggregating feces in each sampling time point aimed to reduce the variability between individuals and thus establish sub-samples that would be more representative of the overall flocks' resident bacterial and fungal communities.

Microbiome and mycobiome sequencing

Total genomic DNA was extracted from all aggregated sub-samples using the DNeasy PowerMax Soil Kit (QIAGEN, Venlo, the Netherlands), following the manufacturer's instructions.

Each sample was subjected to microbiome and mycobiome characterization (bacterial and fungal sequences, respectively) by sequencing the 16S and 25S-28S rRNA regions of eDNA. Samples were analyzed by a customized analytical pipeline developed by BioISIGenomics for long-read targeted nanopore sequencing to obtain high-accuracy taxonomical classification. The current approach has been validated through ZymoBIO-MICS Microbial Community Standard, and sequencing runs were carried out on the GridION X5 sequencing platform. Sequencing data were obtained from 16S and 25S-28S rRNA amplicons, low-quality reads were removed, and the remaining reads were size selected (keeping reads between 1,200 and 1,700 bp) using prinseq-lite (44). Taxonomic classification was performed using a lowest common ancestor approach, with indexing based on k-mers mapping to the lowest common ancestor of all genomes known to




FIG 8 Qualitative scale used for fecal samples' appearance and consistency characterization (normal vs diarrheic or hemorrhagic); black circle and arrow highlight the blood clot identified in a laying hen's fecal sample (original photos).

contain a given k-mer (45). Following classification, data were rarefied and subjected to phyla and genera relative abundance analysis (percentage of each phylum or genus reads in the total of raw reads) as well as alpha-diversity group analysis based on calculating the Shannon diversity (46) and Pielou evenness (47) indexes using the Qiime2 software (version 2019.4.0) (48). Three technical replicates were performed for each aggregated sub-sample (nine technical replicates for each bird flock).

Statistical analysis

Data regarding the quantitative hemolysis assay were subjected to descriptive analysis (mean and standard error values) using the software IBM SPSS Statistics, version 27 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Also, a normality analysis was performed using the Shapiro-Wilk test ($n < 50$), and it was concluded that the OD results obtained for wells containing rRBCs and each fungal concentration and the positive and negative controls were normally distributed (10^4 spores/mL: $P = 0.20$; 10^5 spores/mL: $P = 0.13$; 10^6 spores/mL: $P = 0.10$; positive control: $P = 0.19$; and negative control: $P = 0.31$). Thus, the one-way ANOVA with *post hoc* LSD test was used to compare the results between these five groups of OD data. Moreover, fecal appearance and consistency were compared between each trial's time point in both bird flocks using the Fisher's Exact test.

Microbiome and mycobiome data were treated using the software R, version 4.1.2 (The R Foundation, <https://www.r-project.org/foundation/>). Alpha-diversity group analysis was subjected to the Kruskal-Wallis test to compare bacterial and fungal fecal diversities between each sampling time point. A significance level of $P < 0.05$ was used for every statistical test.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study received financial support from the CIISA/FMV Project UIDB/00276/2020 and LA/P/0059/2020-AL4AnimalS (both funded by FCT), and from the Projects PID2020-120208RB-I00 (MCINN, Spain; FEDER), FEADER 2022/017B (AGACAL, Spain), and ED431B 2021/07 (funded by Consellería de Cultura, Educación e Universidades, Xunta de Galicia, Spain). Additionally, J.L. holds the Ph.D. Research Fellowship 2020.09037.BD (funded by FCT).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

The authors would like to acknowledge the Laboratory of Parasitology and Parasitic Diseases (CIISA-FMV, Lisbon, Portugal), Laboratory of Microbiology and Immunology (CIISA-FMV, Lisbon, Portugal), and the COPAR research group (Faculty of Veterinary, USC, Lugo, Spain), and their leaders, Professors Doctors Isabel Fonseca, Luís Tavares, and Adolfo Paz-Silva for all the support provided during this research.

J.L. designed the study, provided resources, performed the experiments, curated the data, analyzed the results, and wrote the original draft of the manuscript. E.C. provided resources and collaborated in the experiments. C.A. provided resources and collaborated in the experiments. M.N. and R.D. designed the study, provided resources, and partially performed the experiments. E.V. provided resources and collaborated in the experiments. D.S. and S.H. provided resources, collaborated in the experiments, and co-supervised the study. L.M.C. and A.P.S. provided resources, collaborated in the experiments, revised the manuscript, and co-supervised the study. M.O. provided resources, collaborated in the experiments, revised the manuscript, and supervised the study.

All authors have read this manuscript, agreed with its submission, and declare not having any competing interests.

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

¹CIISA – Centre for Interdisciplinary Research in Animal Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal

²Associate Laboratory for Animal and Veterinary Sciences (AL4AnimalS), Lisbon, Portugal

³Exoclinic – Clínica Veterinária de Aves e Exóticos, Miraflora, Portugal

⁴Biosystems and Integrative Sciences Institute, Faculty of Sciences, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal

⁵Castelo de São Jorge, EGEAC – Empresa de Gestão de Equipamentos e Animação Cultural, Lisbon, Portugal

⁶Quinta da Galeana, Ovinos do Futuro, Lda., Nadrupe, Portugal

⁷Control of Parasites Research Group (COPAR, GI-2120), Department of Animal Pathology, Faculty of Veterinary, University of Santiago de Compostela, Lugo, Spain

⁸cE3c – Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal

⁹CHANGE – Global Change and Sustainability Institute, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal

AUTHOR ORCIDs

João Lozano  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5390-6998>

Luís Madeira de Carvalho  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7548-667X>

FUNDING

Funder	Grant(s)	Author(s)
MEC Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT)	CIISA/FMV Project UIDB/00276/2020, LA/P/0059/2020 - AL4AnimalS	João Lozano Eva Cunha Luís Madeira de Carvalho Adolfo Paz-Silva Manuela Oliveira
MEC Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT)	PhD Research Fellowship 2020.09037.BD	João Lozano
MCINN, Spain; FEDER	Project PID2020-120208RB-I00	Adolfo Paz-Silva
AGACAL, Spain	Project FEADER 2022/017B	Adolfo Paz-Silva
Consellería de Cultura, Educación e Ordenación Universitaria, Xunta de Galicia (Ministry of Culture, Education and University Planning, Government of Galicia)	Project ED431B 2021/07	Adolfo Paz-Silva

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

João Lozano, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Software, Writing – original draft | Eva Cunha, Methodology, Resources, Visualization | Cristina Almeida, Methodology, Resources | Mónica Nunes, Conceptualization, Methodology, Resources, Software | Ricardo Dias, Conceptualization, Methodology, Resources, Software | Eduardo Vicente, Methodology, Resources | Daniela Sebastião, Methodology, Resources, Supervision | Sérgio Henriques, Methodology, Resources, Supervision | Luís Madeira de Carvalho, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review and editing | Adolfo Paz-Silva, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review and editing | Manuela Oliveira, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review and editing

DATA AVAILABILITY

Whole-genome sequencing data recorded for *Mucor circinelloides* isolate FMV-FR1 have been uploaded to the NCBI database with the BioProject accession code “PRJNA1065632” and genome submission code “SUB14153967.”

ETHICS APPROVAL

This study was approved by the Ethical Committee for Research and Teaching of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine—University of Lisbon (CEIE 019/2022) and also authorized by the owners of each bird collection. Administration of fungal formulations was performed *per os*, through feed, and fecal samples were collected after excretion, with no direct manipulation of the animals. Moreover, the study followed the normal daily routine of each bird collection. Finally, rabbit blood samples used in the quantitative hemolysis assay were taken from healthy animals and during routine consultations for recommended blood analysis.

ADDITIONAL FILES

The following material is available [online](#).

Supplemental Material

Table S1 (Spectrum04078-23-s0001.xlsx). List of hypothetical genes coding for virulence factors, after WGS of the tested *M. circinelloides* isolate.

REFERENCES

- Madeira de Carvalho L, Bernardo F, Paz-Silva A. 2012. The role of fungi in the control of animal parasites - classification, mode of action and practical applications. In Paz-Silva A, Vázquez M (ed), *Fungi: types, environmental impact and role in disease*. Nova Science Publishers, Hauppauge, NY, USA.
- Braga FR, de Araújo JV. 2014. Nematophagous fungi for biological control of gastrointestinal nematodes in domestic animals. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 98:71–82. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-013-5366-z>
- Cazapal-Monteiro CF, Hernández JA, Arroyo FL, Miguélez S, Romasanta Á, Paz-Silva A, Sánchez-Andrade R, Arias MS. 2015. Analysis of the effect of soil saprophytic fungi on the eggs of *Baylisascaris procyonis*. *Parasitol Res* 114:2443–2450. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-015-4440-0>
- Lozano J, Louro M, Almeida C, Victório AC, Melo P, Rodrigues JP, Oliveira M, Paz-Silva A, Madeira de Carvalho L. 2023. Isolation of saprophytic filamentous fungi from avian fecal samples and assessment of its predatory activity on coccidian oocysts. *Sci Rep* 13:8965. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-36120-5>
- Madeira de Carvalho L, Serra P, Bernardo F, Agrícola R, Jorge H, Farrim A, Fazendeiro I, Paz-Silva A. 2011. Controlo integrado da estrogilidose equina com anti-helmínticos associados ao fungo *Duddingtonia flagrans*. Aspectos da sua utilização em Portugal. *Acta Parasitológica Portuguesa* 18:63–90.
- Healey K, Lawlor C, Knox MR, Chambers M, Lamb J, Groves P. 2018. Field evaluation of *Duddingtonia flagrans* IAH 1297 for the reduction of worm burden in grazing animals: pasture larval studies in horses, cattle and goats. *Vet Parasitol* 258:124–132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2018.06.017>
- Voinot M, Bonilla R, Sousa S, Sanchis J, Canhão-Dias M, Romero Delgado J, Lozano J, Sánchez-Andrade R, Sol Arias M, Madeira de Carvalho L. 2021. Control of strongyles in first-season grazing ewe lambs by integrating deworming and thrice-weekly administration of parasiticide fungal spores. *Pathogens* 10:1338. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens10101338>
- Canhão-Dias M, Paz-Silva A, Madeira de Carvalho LM. 2020. The efficacy of predatory fungi on the control of gastrointestinal parasites in domestic and wild animals—a systematic review. *Vet Parasitol* 283:109173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2020.109173>
- Palomero AM, Cazapal-Monteiro CF, Viña C, Hernández JÁ, Voinot M, Vilá M, Silva MI, Paz-Silva A, Sánchez-Andrade R, Sol Arias M. 2021. Formulating fungal spores to prevent infection by trichostrongylids in a zoological park: practical approaches to a persisting problem. *Biol Control* 152:104466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2020.104466>
- Paz-Silva A, Salmo R, Viña C, Miguel Palomero A, Ángel Hernández J, Sánchez-Andrade R, Cazapal-Monteiro C, Sol Arias M. 2023. Gelatin treats containing filamentous fungi to promote sustainable control of helminths among pets and zoo animals. *Biol Control* 179:105184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2023.105184>
- Seyedmousavi S, Bosco S de MG, de Hoog S, Ebel F, Elad D, Gomes RR, Jacobsen ID, Jensen HE, Martel A, Mignon B, Pasmans F, Piecková E, Rodrigues AM, Singh K, Vicente VA, Wibbelt G, Wiederhold NP, Guillot J. 2018. Fungal infections in animals: a patchwork of different situations. *Med Mycol* 56:165–187. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mmy/myx104>
- Grond K, Sandercock BK, Jumpponen A, Zeglin LH. 2018. The avian gut microbiota: community, physiology and function in wild birds. *J Avian Biol* 49:e01788. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jav.01788>
- Cafarchia C, Iatta R, Danesi P, Camarda A, Capelli G, Otranto D. 2019. Yeasts isolated from cloacal swabs, feces, and eggs of laying hens. *Med Mycol* 57:340–345. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mmy/myy026>
- Robinson K, Yang Q, Stewart S, Whitmore MA, Zhang G. 2022. Biogeography, succession, and origin of the chicken intestinal mycobiome. *Microbiome* 10:55. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-022-01252-9>
- Braga FR, Araújo JV, Tavela A de O, Vilela VLR, Soares F de F, Araújo JM, Magalhães LQ, Silveira W da, Feitosa TF, Dantas ES, Atahyde ACR. 2013. First report of interaction of nematophagous fungi on *Libyostrongylus douglassii* (Nematoda: Trichostrongylidae). *Rev Bras Parasitol Vet* 22:147–151. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s1984-29612013000100027>
- Thapa S, Thamsborg SM, Wang R, Meyling NV, Dalgaard TS, Petersen HH, Mejer H. 2018. Effect of the nematophagous fungus *Pochonia chlamydosporia* on soil content of ascarid eggs and infection levels in exposed hens. *Parasit Vectors* 11:319. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-018-2898-1>
- Bullen JJ, Rogers HJ, Spalding PB, Ward CG. 2006. Natural resistance, iron and infection: a challenge for clinical medicine. *J Med Microbiol* 55:251–258. <https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.46386-0>

18. Lax C, Pérez-Arques C, Navarro-Mendoza MI, Cánovas-Márquez JT, Tahiri G, Pérez-Ruiz JA, Osorio-Concepción M, Murcia-Flores L, Navarro E, Garre V, Nicolás FE. 2020. Genes, pathways, and mechanisms involved in the virulence of mucorales. *Genes (Basel)* 11:317. <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes11030317>
19. Patiño-Medina JA, Maldonado-Herrera G, Pérez-Arques C, Alejandre-Castañeda V, Reyes-Mares NY, Valle-Maldonado MI, Campos-García J, Ortiz-Alvarado R, Jácome-Galarza IE, Ramírez-Díaz MI, Garre V, Meza-Carmen V. 2018. Control of morphology and virulence by ADP-ribosylation factors (Arf) in *Mucor circinelloides*. *Curr Genet* 64:853–869. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00294-017-0798-0>
20. Virag A, Lee MP, Si H, Harris SD. 2007. Regulation of hyphal morphogenesis by *cdc42* and *rac1* homologues in *Aspergillus nidulans*. *Mol Microbiol* 66:1579–1596. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.06021.x>
21. Ghannoum MA. 2000. Potential role of phospholipases in virulence and fungal pathogenesis. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 13:122–143. <https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.13.1.122>
22. Fanning S, Mitchell AP. 2012. Fungal biofilms. *PLoS Pathog* 8:e1002585. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002585>
23. Nayak AP, Green BJ, Beezhold DH. 2013. Fungal hemolysins. *Med Mycol* 51:1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3109/13693786.2012.698025>
24. Diaz Carrasco JM, Casanova NA, Fernández Miyakawa ME. 2019. Microbiota, gut health and chicken productivity: what is the connection? *Microorganisms* 7:374. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms7100374>
25. Reis MP, Fassani EJ, Júnior A, Rodrigues PB, Bertechini AG, Barrett N, Persia ME, Schmidt CJ. 2017. Effect of *Bacillus subtilis* (DSM 17299) on performance, digestibility, intestine morphology, and pH in broiler chickens. *J Appl Poult Res* 26:573–583. <https://doi.org/10.3382/japr/pfx032>
26. Hu J, Chen J, Hou Q, Xu X, Ren J, Ma L, Yan X. 2023. Core-predominant gut fungus *Kazachstania slooffiae* promotes intestinal epithelial glycolysis via lysine desuccinylation in pigs. *Microbiome* 11:31. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-023-01468-3>
27. Hoffmann K, Pawłowska J, Walther G, Wrzosek M, de Hoog GS, Benny GL, Kirk PM, Voigt K. 2013. The family structure of the *Mucorales*: a synoptic revision based on comprehensive multigene-genealogies. *Persoonia* 30:57–76. <https://doi.org/10.3767/003158513X666259>
28. Voinot M, Arroyo F, Hernández JÁ, Paz-Silva A, Sánchez-Andrade R, Bermúdez R, Guillot J, Madeira de Carvalho LM, Arias MS, Cazapal-Monteiro CF. 2022. Safety of daily administration of pellets containing parasitocidal fungal spores over a long period of time in heifers. *Biocontrol Sci Technol* 32:1249–1259. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09583157.2021.2008317>
29. Kers JG, de Oliveira JE, Fischer EAJ, Tersteeg-Zijderfeld MHG, Konstanti P, Stegeman JAA, Smidt H, Velkers FC. 2020. Associations between phenotypic characteristics and clinical parameters of broilers and intestinal microbial development throughout a production cycle: a field study. *Microbiologopen* 9:e1114. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mbo3.1114>
30. Bindari YR, Gerber PF. 2022. Centennial review: factors affecting the chicken gastrointestinal microbial composition and their association with gut health and productive performance. *Poult Sci* 101:101612. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2021.101612>
31. Gong J, Yu H, Liu T, Gill JJ, Chambers JR, Wheatcroft R, Sabour PM. 2008. Effects of zinc bacitracin, bird age and access to range on bacterial microbiota in the ileum and caeca of broiler chickens. *J Appl Microbiol* 104:1372–1382. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2007.03699.x>
32. Robinson K, Xiao Y, Johnson TJ, Chen B, Yang Q, Lyu W, Wang J, Fansler N, Becker S, Liu J, Yang H, Zhang G. 2020. Chicken intestinal mycobiome: initial characterization and its response to bacitracin methylene disalicylate. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 86:e00304-20. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00304-20>
33. Chen S, Luo S, Yan C. 2021. Gut microbiota implications for health and welfare in farm animals: a review. *Animals (Basel)* 12:93. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12010093>
34. Hernández JÁ, Arroyo FL, Suárez J, Cazapal-Monteiro CF, Romasanta Á, López-Arellano ME, Pedreira J, de Carvalho LMM, Sánchez-Andrade R, Arias MS, de Gives PM, Paz-Silva A. 2016. Feeding horses with industrially manufactured pellets with fungal spores for promoting nematode integrated control. *Vet Parasitol* 229:37–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2016.09.014>
35. Arias MS, Cazapal-Monteiro CF, Suárez J, Miguélez S, Francisco I, Arroyo FL, Suárez JL, Paz-Silva A, Sánchez-Andrade R, Mendoza de Gives P. 2013. Mixed production of filamentous fungal spores for preventing soil-transmitted helminth zoonoses: a preliminary analysis. *Biomed Res Int* 2013:567876. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/567876>
36. Aamir S, Sutar S, Singh S, Baghela A. 2015. A rapid and efficient method of fungal genomic DNA extraction, suitable for PCR based molecular methods. *Plant Pathol Quarantine* 5:74–81. <https://doi.org/10.5943/ppq/5/2/6>
37. Lu T, Yao B, Zhang C. 2012. DFVF: database of fungal virulence factors. *Database (Oxford)* 2012:bas032. <https://doi.org/10.1093/database/bas032>
38. Urban M, Cuzick A, Seager J, Wood V, Rutherford K, Venkatesh SY, Sahu J, Iyer SV, Khamari L, De Silva N, Martinez MC, Pedro H, Yates AD, Hammond-Kosack KE. 2022. PHI-base in 2022: a multi-species phenotype database for pathogen–host interactions. *Nucleic Acids Res* 50:D837–D847. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab1037>
39. Priyam A, Woodcroft BJ, Rai V, Moghul I, Munagala A, Ter F, Chowdhary H, Pieniak I, Maynard LJ, Gibbins MA, Moon H, Davis-Richardson A, Uludag M, Watson-Haigh NS, Challis R, Nakamura H, Favreau E, Gómez EA, Pluskal T, Leonard G, Rumpf W, Wurm Y. 2019. Sequenceserver: a modern graphical user interface for custom BLAST databases. *Mol Biol Evol* 36:2922–2924. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msz185>
40. Chaudhuri R, Ramachandran S. 2014. Prediction of virulence factors using bioinformatics approaches, p 389–400. In De RK, Tomar N (ed), *Immunoinformatics*. Springer, New York, NY.
41. Cunha E, Ferreira AF, Valente S, Matos A, Carreira LM, Videira M, Chambel L, Tavares L, Oliveira M. 2023. *In vivo* effect of a nisin–biogel on the antimicrobial and virulence signatures of canine oral enterococci. *Antibiotics (Basel)* 12:468. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics12030468>
42. Raposo C, Serrano I, Cunha E, Couto MP, Lopes F, Casero M, Tavares L, Oliveira M. 2023. Phenotypic characterization of oral *Mucor* species from Eurasian vultures: pathogenic potential and antimicrobial ability. *Life (Basel)* 13:1638. <https://doi.org/10.3390/life13081638>
43. Mendonça DA, Bakker M, Cruz-Oliveira C, Neves V, Jiménez MA, Defaus S, Cavaco M, Veiga AS, Cadima-Couto I, Castanho MARB, Andreu D, Todorovski T. 2021. Penetrating the blood-brain barrier with new peptide-porphyrin conjugates having anti-HIV activity. *Bioconjug Chem* 32:1067–1077. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.1c00123>
44. Schmieler R, Edwards R. 2011. Quality control and preprocessing of metagenomic datasets. *Bioinformatics* 27:863–864. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr026>
45. Wood DE, Lu J, Langmead B. 2019. Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2. *Genome Biol* 20:257. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1891-0>
46. Shannon CE. 1948. A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell Syst Tech J* 27:623–656. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb00917.x>
47. Pielou EC. 1966. The measurement of diversity in different types of biological collections. *J Theor Biol* 13:131–144. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5193\(66\)90013-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5193(66)90013-0)
48. Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon MR, Bokulich NA, Abnet CC, Al-Ghalith GA, Alexander H, Alm EJ, Arumugam M, Asnicar F, et al. 2019. Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. *Nat Biotechnol* 37:852–857. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9>