List of figures

CHAPTER 2

Fig. 2.1: Atomic structure of $SrTiO_3$ at RT. The sizes of the spheres representing the atoms are arbitrary and are not related to atomic radii. 12

Fig. 2.2: Atomic arrangements for the <100>, <110> and <111> axial directions in $SrTiO_3$. The arrangements shown on the left are end views of the channels, and the letters refer to the individual rows shown on the right. 12

Fig. 2.3: Ordering of oxygen vacancies in $SrFeO_{2.875}$ (=$Sr_8Fe_8O_{23}$). Fe ions are located in both square pyramids and in octahedral. 15

Fig. 2.4: Schematic electronic structures for TiO_6 octahedron of a typical $SrTiO_3$ oxide with d_0 electronic configuration for the Ti ion. 17

Fig. 2.5: (a) Picture of an early furnace used by Verneuil to synthesise rubies using the Verneuil process schematized in (b). 22

CHAPTER 3

Fig. 3.1: Sketch and photo of the *Danfysik* ion implanter installed at ITN ion beam laboratory, from [5]. 38

Fig. 3.2: Sketch of radioactive nuclide production in a uranium target irradiated with protons. 39

Fig. 3.3: Overview of CERN accelerators and ISOLDE hall with General Purpose Separator (GPS) with its three different beam lines (CBL, GLM and GHM). Implantation chambers in the end of the GLM and GHM beam lines, from [6]. 40

Fig. 3.4: Implantation chambers at GLM (left) and LA2 (right) ISOLDE beam lines. 42

Fig. 3.5: The basic principle of PIXE. 49

Fig. 3.6: Field dependence of magnetization (a) and thermal variation of the magnetic susceptibility (b) for a diamagnetic material. 53

Fig. 3.7: (a) Paramagnetic free atoms under zero applied field. (b) Field dependence of magnetization and (c) thermal variation of magnetic susceptibility according Curie law for a paramagnet of non-interacting spins. 54

Fig. 3.8: Brillouin curves for ideal paramagnets with various S values are shown. Magnetization normalized to saturation magnetization is plotted against H/T. Systems with high S values saturate more quickly in response to increasing magnetic field than those with low values of S. 55

Fig. 3.9: Magnetization curve of a demagnetised material or initial magnetisation curve (full line). Hysteresis loop (dashed line) of a ferromagnetic material showing the coercive field, H_C, and remnant magnetisation, M_r. 56

Fig. 3.10: The basic principle of SQUID. 58

Fig. 3.11: SQUID assembly (a) and sample rod (b) sketch illustrating sample mounting details 59

Fig. 3.12: Schematic illustration of the effect of the EFG on the intermediate energy level ($I = 5/2$) of the cascade. The EFG causes the energy to split into three (doubly degenerate) levels depending on the quantum number for the z component of the nuclear angular momentum, m. 61

Fig. 3.13: Simplified schematic of the principle of the PAC experiment illustrated with a setup of 3 detectors but typical setups have 4 or 6 detectors. For each pair of detectors, the probability of detecting the second γ-ray at the angle θ is detected as a function of the time elapsed between the two γ-rays. 65

Fig.3.14: From left to right decay scheme of ^{111}Ag and ^{111m}Cd showing the cascades used in the perturbed angular correlation experiments. 69

Fig. 3.15: Photos of the 4 (a) and 6 (b) detector γ-γ PAC spectrometers. The 6-detector spectrometer is equipped with a refrigerator/furnace that can be mounted in the centre of the detectors as shown in the figure. 70

Figure 3.16: Schematic illustration of the basic principle of the electron emission channelling technique. 72

Figure 3.17: The bottom half of the figure shows the starting point of the theoretical model, where an incoming plane wave impinges on a crystal. The upper half shows the approximations that have been made: the wave functions inside and outside the crystal are split up into a longitudinal and a transverse component. Due to the continuum and the small angle approximations, the transverse wave function inside the crystal can be expanded into 2D Bloch waves. (From [31]). 74

Fig. 3.18: Schematics illustrating the sample mounted in a goniometer, in this case a 2-axis goniometer with two rotational degrees and one translational degree of freedom. It can be oriented with respect to the detector by changing the polar angle J and the azimuthal angle j of rotation, and by moving it up and down along z. The square shaped detector of size $l \times l$ is positioned at a distance D from the sample, which determines the angular range θ of the measured pattern. 84

Fig. 3.19: (a) Design of new detector chamber. (b): Photo of the whole setup. The goniometer that holds the sample is seen on top of the setup, placing the sample at the center of the vacuum chamber. The new detector chamber is connected via the tube visible in the center of the photograph. The detector can be sealed from the rest of the chamber, e. g. during sample annealing, by means of the vacuum valve 85

Fig. 3.20: Cross-section (a) and planar (b) schematic views of the cooling system. (c): Photo of the cooling system surrounding the backplane side of the detector. 86

Fig. 3.21: Photo of the SINTEF wafer containing Si pad detectors, (b) scheme of the EC pad detector layout and (c) corner of a pad detector showing the guard ring structure and its bonding pad. 87

Fig. 3.22: Schematic layout of the readout cycle on the position sensitive pad detector, from ref. [40]. 89

CHAPTER 4

Fig. 4.1: Normalized EC yield χ_{max} measured along the major crystallographic directions of $SrTiO_3$ following $SrTiO_3$ implantation with 2.5×10^{13} (a) and 8×10^{14} ^{89}Sr at/cm^{-2} (b) and as a function of annealing temperature. 100

Fig. 4.2: Angular distributions of ß particles emitted from ^{89}Sr in $SrTiO_3$ around the (a) <100> and (b) <211> axes following RT implantation of the highest dose sample. The best fits of the simulated patterns to the experimental ones are shown in (c)–(d). 103

Fig. 4.3: Angle dependent ß emission yields from implanted ^{89}Sr in $SrTiO_3$ to a fluence of 2.5×10^{13} following 1050 ºC annealing around the (a) <100>, (b) <110>, (c) <211>, (d) <411> and (e) <111> axes. Best fits (f)-(j) of simulated channelling patterns for S_{Sr} sites to experimental yield. 104

Fig. 4.4: Angle dependent ß emission yields from implanted ^{89}Sr in $SrTiO_3$ to a fluence of 8×10^{14} following 1300 ºC annealing around the (a) <100>, (b) <111>, (c) <211> and (d) <110> axes. Best fits (e)-(h) of simulated channelling patterns for S_{Sr} sites to experimental yield. 104

Fig. 4.5: *Smoothing* distribution width sigma taken to obtain the simulated ß$^-$ angular distributions giving the best fits for each axis for the high fluence sample following ^{89}Sr implantation and annealing up to 1300 ºC. 106

Fig. 4.6: Substitutional fraction of ^{89}Sr at Sr- sites for low and high fluence (i.e. LF and HF) samples deduced from a fit to (a) theoretical simulations including the broadening due to mosaicity and (b) theoretical emission yields assuming a perfect crystal. as a function of annealing temperature for low- and high- fluence ^{89}Sr-implanted samples. The fraction ration for each set of theoretical fits as a function of annealing temperature is displayed in (c). Squared and circled symbols refer to high- and low- fluence samples, respectively. 108

Fig. 4.7: One dimensional *rms* displacements perpendicular to the channelling axes, measured as a function of annealing temperature for low- (a) and high- (b) fluence samples. The dashed and dotted lines correspond to the vibration amplitude of Sr and Ti in $SrTiO_3$, u_1(Sr) = 0.077 Å and u_2(Ti) = 0.06 Å. 109

Fig. 4.8: RBS/C spectra of a virgin $SrTiO_3$ sample (blue) and of two 900 ºC annealed ^{56}Fe-implanted samples to 1×10^{15} (green) and 5×10^{15} (red) at/cm^2 along three axes: (a) <100>, (b) <111> and (c) <110>. Inset plots are RBS/C spectra of both ^{56}Fe-implanted samples measured in the as implanted state along the same three axes. 111

Fig. 4.9: 2 MeV He^+ RBS/C minimum yield χ_{min}(Sr) along <100>, <111> and <110> directions as a function of Fe- implanted sample fluence measured in the as implanted state (a) and after 900ºC annealing (b). 114

Fig. 4.10: PAC anisotropy ratio R(t) of the 96.7-245.4 keV gamma cascade (a) and its Fourier transform (b) obtained from the ^{111}Ag/^{111}Cd:$SrTiO_3$ system annealed at 900ºC, with the <100> axis in detector plane at 45º with detectors. The thicker solid line on the R(t) and Fourier spectra represents the theoretical fit. 117

Fig. 4.11: Time dependent anisotropy of the 151-245 keV γ-γ of ^{111m}Cd(^{111}Cd) in 2%Nb-doped $SrTiO_3$ as implanted (a) and after annealing the sample for 20 min at 720 ºC (b) and 1000 ºC (c). It is also shown the anisotropy function of ^{111m}Cd(^{111}Cd) γ cascade in undoped $SrTiO_3$ after annealing the sample for 20 min at 1000 ºC (d). 120

CHAPTER 5

Fig. 5.1: Angular distributions of ß$^-$ particles emitted from ^{111}Ag in $SrTiO_3$ around the <100> (a), <111> (b), <211> (c) and <110> (d) directions following RT implantation of ^{111}Ag and annealing for 10 min at 900 ºC. The best fits of the simulated patterns to the experimental ones are shown in (e)–(h). 129

Fig. 5.2: Substitutional fractions of Ag occupying Sr, Ti and octahedral interstitial sites and their *rms* displacements perpendicular to <111>, <100>, <110> and <211> axial directions as a function of annealing temperature in vacuum for 10 min. The dotted and dashed lines represent the RT Sr and Ti vibration amplitudes, respectively. 130

Fig. 5.3: Angular distributions of the ß$^-$ particles emitted from ^{115}Cd in $SrTiO_3$ around the (a) <100>, (b) <110>, (c) <211> and (d) <111> directions following annealing at 800ºC. The best fits for each direction are shown from figure (f) to (h). 132

Fig. 5.4: Fraction of Cd atoms at S_{Sr} and S_{Ti} sites (a) and their rms displacements (b) perpendicular to <100>, <111>, <211>, <110> and <411> directions; following 10 min vacuum annealing at 800 °C. The dashed and dotted lines represent the room-temperature Sr and Ti vibration amplitudes. 133

Fig. 5.5: Adopted level scheme for the internal conversion decay of ^{117m}Sn. 135

Fig. 5.6: Simulated electron emission yields from ^{117m}Sn in $SrTiO_3$ around the <100>, <110>, <211> and <411> axes considering full substitutionality of Sn atoms at Sr-, (a)-(d), and Ti-, (e)-(h), sites. 136

Fig. 5.7: (a)-(e) Electron emission patterns for <100>, <110>, <211>, <411> and <111> axes measured after annealing at 900 ºC. Panels (f)–(j) represent the best two-fraction fit of theoretical patterns to the experimental data. 137

Fig. 5.8: Fractions of (a) ^{117m}Sn atoms on Sr- and Ti- sites and (b) their *rms* displacements perpendicular to the indicated crystal axes for the complete annealing sequence. RT *rms* displacements of Sr and Ti atoms are u_1(Sr) = 0.077 Å and u_1(Ti)=0.061 Å. 138

Fig. 5.9: Simulated channeling patterns considering 100% of emitter atoms (^{169}Tm) at substitutional Sr- [(a)-(d)] and Ti- [(e)-(h)] sites. 141

Fig 5.10: Experimental (a)-(e) and simulated (f)-(j) electron EC patterns from ^{169}Tm following ^{169}Yb-implanted $SrTiO_3$ annealing at 865 ºC, along several crystal axes. Best fits were obtained considering the implanted atoms amphoteric. 141

Fig. 5.11: (a) Substitutional fractions of Tm occupying Sr and Ti sites as a function of annealing temperature in vacuum for 10 min each. (b) *rms* displacements perpendicular to the channelling axes, measured as a function of the same isochronal annealing temperature. The dashed lines corresponds to the vibration amplitude of Sr and Ti in $SrTiO_3$, u_1(Sr) = 0.077 Å and u_2(Ti)=0.061 Å. 143

Fig. 5.12: Angle dependent ß emission yields from ^{59}Fe in $SrTiO_3$ around the <100> (a), <110> (b), <211> (c) and <111> (d) axes. The patterns were recorded after ion implantation at RT. Best fits of simulated channelling patterns for a misxture of S_{Ti} sites, $S_{Ti}\rightarrow S_O$ and S_O sites to the experimental yields (e)-(h). 147

Fig. 5.13: Angle dependent ß emission yields from ^{59}Fe in $SrTiO_3$ around the <100> (a), <110> (b), <211> (c) and <111> (d) axes. The patterns were recorded after 900ºC annealing. Best fits of simulated channelling patterns for a misxture of S_{Ti} sites, $S_{Ti}\rightarrow S_O$ and S_O sites to the experimental yields (e)-(h). 147

Fig 5.14: Simulated channelling patterns considering 100% of emitter atoms on S_{Ti} (a)-(d), $S_{Ti}\rightarrow S_O$ (e)-(h), S_{Sr} (i)-(l) and octhaerdral, O, (m)-(p) sites along <100>, <110>, <211> and <111> axes. The orientation and angular resolution has been chosen so as to match the experimental patterns of Figure 5.13. 147

Fig. 5.15: (a) Substitutional fractions of ^{59}Fe atoms occupying Ti substitutional sites and SB→SO and octahedral interstitial sites. (b) The averaged *rms* displacements of Fe atoms at Ti and SB→SO sites perpendicular to <111>, <100>, <110> and <211> axial directions as a function of annealing temperature are also shown. The dashed lines corresponds to the vibration amplitude of Sr and Ti in $SrTiO_3$, u_1(Sr) = 0.077 Å and u_2(Ti)=0.061 Å. 148

Fig. 5.16: Normalized electron EC yield χ_{max} measured along the major crystallographic directions of 1×10^{15} (a) and 5×10^{15} at./cm^{2} ^{59}Fe-implanted $SrTiO_3$ samples following their implantation with (a) 1×10^{14} and (b) 1.9×10^{14} ^{59}Fe at./cm^{2}, as a function of annealing temperature. 151

Fig. 5.17: Measured angular distribution of $ß^-$ emission yield from ^{59}Fe in 5×10^{15} at/cm^2 ^{56}Fe-implanted $SrTiO_3$ following 900ºC annealing (a)-(e). The best fits of the channeling patterns for each direction are also shown (f)-(j). The angular resolution has been optimized fitting each pattern. 154

Fig. 5.18: *Smoothing* distribution width taken to obtain the simulated ß angular distributions giving the best fits for the ^{56}Fe-implanted SrTiO$_3$ sample following ^{56}Fe implantation and annealing up to 900ºC to each axis 154

Fig. 5.19: Fraction of ^{59}Fe on Ti- sites (a) as a function of annealing temperature and corresponding *rms* displacements (b-c) as determined by fits considering smoothed simulated patterns with optimum sigma, i.e. including mosaicity (curves with open symbols) according to fig. 5.18 and fixed sigma to 0.1º, i.e. perfect crystal (curves with solid symbols). 156

Fig. 5.20: Experimental μ(T) curves of SrTiO$_3$ samples acquired to a magnetic field of 5.5 T. An oxygen contamination (condensation) in the sample was identified (1). 158

Fig. 5.21: Experimental μ(T) curve of the virgin sample for the low temperature and contamination free range and fit curves for different values of S. Inset plot shows the normalized deviation $\left(c / c_{\min}^{2}\right)$ giving S=5/2 as best fit. Applied magnetic field was 5.5 T. 160

Fig. 5.22: Experimental $m(H)$ curves acquired at 10K (blue line) and 100K (red line) from virgin (a) and low fluence Fe-implanted (b) samples. The 100K decreasing field curve of the virgin sample is not included in the analysis because of the strangely different slope is the positive region (1). 163

Fig. 5.23: Comparison between the resulting hysteresis from the virgin and low fluence Fe-implanted samples (the former normalized to the last). 164

Fig. 5.24: (a) Completely corrected (DM + PM + contaminant FM) $m(H)$ curves of high fluence Fe-implanted SrTiO$_3$ sample at 10K (blue line) and 100K (red line). *Inset*: Plot of the entire measured field range. At left, figure is a zoom of the referred curves in the field region of $-2000 < H < 2000$ Oe. 165

Fig. 5.25: Experimental substitutional fractions of Fe^{3+}-, Sn^{4+}-, Cd^{2+}- and Ag^{+}- ions at Sr (S$_{Sr}$) and Ti (S$_{Ti}$) sites as a function of ionic radius following 900ºC annealing. The red and blue dashed curves are the linear fits performed to S$_{Sr}$ and S$_{Ti}$ data sets including all the referred ions. The data points marked with a cross correspond to Cu^{2+}, which experimental S$_{Sr}$ and S$_{Ti}$ fractions were only available for SrTiO$_3$ annealed at 600ºC. 168

CHAPTER 6

Fig. 6.1: Simplified block diagram of the detector and its VME data acquisition system 180

Fig. 6.2: (a) Front-end electronics schematic of a single readout channel of a VATAGP3 ASIC. (b) Screenshot from the oscilloscope showing three signals measured on the chip: trigger due to the charge generated by the detector exposed to the gamma rays of a ^{241}Am source into the preamplifier input (line1 in the fig.); after ~4 μs delay, the HOLD signal (line 4 in the fig.) is activated to sample and hold the analog outputs from all channels (line 2 in fig. shows the signal from a single channel). 181

Fig. 6.3: A photo of the EC module (pad side), containing the silicon pad sensor bonded to four 183

VATAGP3 chips on a PCB hybrid. ....

Fig. 6.4: Mapping of the detector indicating the channel indexation number. It is clearly seen that the "adjacent" numbered channels, for the readout, are not necessarily physically adjacent. The lines indicate the edge of each (1 to 4) chip channels. Note that despite of the readout logic being binary, only 484 channels are connected into detector. Each chip has 7 channels not bonded. 186

Fig. 6.5: VMEDAQ main window screenshot. 189

Fig. 6.6: VMEDAQ monitor screenshot of the LINUX based readout software for on-line EC experiments with the new fast Si pad detector system in sparse mode. The data visible in the center of the screen was obtained from a $SrTiO_3$ single crystal implanted at ISOLDE with ^{111}In for test purposes. It shows the energy spectrum of the conversion electrons from ^{111}In and the corresponding EC pattern of the $SrTiO_3$ <100> direction, as displayed during the measurement. 190

Fig. 6.7: Pedestals and noise distribution measured for all 512 channels in serial readout mode without source. 196

Fig. 6.8: Measurements taken with four VATAGP3 chips connected to the 484 pad Si pad detector, which were operating in the serial readout mode: (top) hit map, (middle) ^{241}Am source pulse height spectrum acquired at channel #100 and (bottom) the Gaussian fit performed for the 59.5 keV γ-line of the ^{241}Am energy spectrum. 197

Fig. 6.9: Hit multiplicity plots taken in serial (a) and sparse (b) readout modes. 200

Fig. 6.10: ^{241}Am spectrum of hits in a particular pad. The solid line represents a Gaussian fit to the 59.9 keV line obtained at channel #48. 201

Fig. 6.11: Gain variations over the 512 channels for the four chips of the detector. 202

Fig. 6.12: (a) Distributions of pedestal and (b) noise (variance values) over all the detector channels. (c) Average electronic noise. Projection of noise distribution for all detector channels. (d) Lego plot of noise values measured for all channels. The vertical scale is calibrated in electrons using the 59.9 keV ^{241}Am peak as reference. 203

Fig. 6.13: Gain corrected ^{241}Am spectrum. All pads are added. The fit is Gaussian and it is performed to determine the FWHM of the peak. 204

Fig. 6.14: ^{54}Co, Tb, Ba, Ag, Mo and Rb spectra acquired in serial readout mode. 205

Fig. 6.15: Energy calibration (a) and energy resolution (b) curves determined with gamma sources. 206

Fig. 6.16: S-curves obtained in serial (a) and (b) sparse modes with the Ag X-ray source. The 50% point is obtained from the fit. 208

Fig. 6.17: Threshold vs. energy calibration lines taken in serial and sparse readout modes with an X-ray variable gamma source. 209

Fig. 6.18: (a) distributions of pedestal, (b) noise (variance values) and (c) gain over all the detector channels for three serial readout mode runs taken with ^{181}Hf, ^{141}Ce and ^{111}In. 211

Fig. 6.19: Energy spectra of ^{181}Hf (a), ^{141}Ce (b) and ^{111}In (c) recorded with the 1 mm thick detector, showing conversion electron lines with energies ranging from 65 keV to 242 keV. The square evidences peaks and energies used for calibration. 214

Fig. 6.20: Energy calibration lines determined from ^{141}Ce, ^{181}Hf and ^{111}In electron spectra acquired in serial readout mode. 216

Fig. 6.21: Measured electron energy resolution as function of energy from the ^{181}Hf, ^{141}Ce and ^{111}In collected data in serial readout mode. 217

Fig. 6.22: ^{169}Yb integral gain corrected energy spectra acquired with a 1 mm thick Si pad detector readout by (a) VATAGP3 and (b) readout chips. 219

Fig. 6.23: Energy calibration (a) and energy resolution (b) curves determined from the electron energy peaks of ^{169}Yb spectrum acquired in serial readout mode. 220

Fig. 6.24: (a) Distribution of pedestal, (b) noise (variance values) and (c) gain variations over all the detector channels measured from ^{169}Yb electron source. 221

Fig. 6.25: (a) Distribution of pedestal, (b) noise (variance values), (c) gain variations over all the detector channels and (d) average electron noise measured from ^{181}Hf electron source. The single (e) pad and integral gain corrected energy spectra (f) were acquired in sparse with 20 channels mode. 222

Fig. 6.26: Distribution of pedestal, (b) noise (variance values), (c) gain variations over all the detector channels and (d) average electron noise measured from ^{141}Ce electron source. The integral gain corrected energy spectrum (e) was acquired in sparse with 10 channels mode. 223

Fig. 6.27: Pedestal and gain corrected ^{111}In spectrum acquired in sparse readout mode. The square evidences peaks and energies used for calibration. 225

Fig. 6.28: Electron empirical resolution curves for each VATAGP3 readout mode. The black dashed line corresponds to the measured gamma resolution curve given in figure 6.15. ... 225

Fig. 6.29: Top view layout drawing of the new on-line EC set-up showing: in blue and grey the diaphragm-collimator chamber with lead shield, in green the implantation chamber with the sample location in the center and in brown the detector box assembly. 227

Fig. 6.30: Detail side layouts of the diaphragm-collimator_1 chamber (a) and (b) on-line implantation chamber with the second collimator (colimmator_2), and the faraday cup. ... 228

Fig. 6.31: Schematic drawing of the new EC set-up built to host the new fast silicon pad detector and to mount online in case of short lived probe are used. The heavy orientation stand is also shown in the picture (labelled). 229

Figure 6.32: (a) Sketch of the detector box showing the two movable flanges. (b) Lateral flange of the detector box holding the module. It is visible the backside of the detector surrounded by the cooling system. (c) Front view of the lateral flange of the detector box detailing the plug for electrical connections and the copper tubes for water pipes connection. 230

Fig. 6.33: Energy spectra recorded during the $ß^-$ decay of implanted ^{61}Mn isotope, in ZnO, to its daughter isotopes, ^{61}Fe and ^{61}Co. The energy peaks emerging from the $ß^-$ spectra, acquired in sparse readout mode with 10 adjacent channels, are from the K and L conversion electrons emitted in the 67.4 keV ^{61}Co → ^{61}Ni transition. 231

Fig. 6.34: Electron energy spectrum from the $ß^-$ decay of ^{56}Mn implanted in GaN. 232

Fig. 6.35: Experimental EC patterns of (a) ^{61}Co- and (b) ^{56}Mn- implanted impurities in ZnO and GaN, after annealing at 800ºC and 900ºC, respectively. 233